1	BEFORE THE
2	ILLINOIS COMMERCE COMMISSION
3	IN THE MATTER OF:
4) ILLINOIS BELL TELEPHONE) COMPANY (SBC ILLINOIS) AND KMC)
5	TELECOM, INC.) No. 04-0430
6	Joint Petition for Approval of) Fourth Amendment to the)
7	Interconnection Agreement) dated May 26, 2004, pursuant)
8	to 47 U.S.C. Section 252.
9	Chicago, Illinois
10	July 12, 2004
11	Met pursuant to notice at 10:45 a.m.
12	BEFORE:
13	MR. JOHN RILEY, Administrative Law Judge
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	

Τ.	APPEARANCES:							
2	MR. JAMES A. HUTTENHOWER 225 West Randolph Street, Suite 25-D							
3	Chicago, Illinois 60606 Appearing for SBC;							
4								
5	MR. DOUGLAS NELSON 1755 North Brown Road Lawrenceville, Georgia 30443							
6	Appearing for KMC Telecom, Inc.							
7	(telephonically);							
8	MR. JAMES E. WEGING 160 North LaSalle Street, Suite C-800 Chicago, Illinois 60601							
9	Appearing for Staff.							
10								
11								
12								
13								
14								
15								
16								
17								
18								
19								
20								
21	SULLIVAN REPORTING COMPANY, by Julia C. White, CSR							
22	License No. 084-004544							

1			<u>I</u> <u>N</u> <u>I</u>	<u>E X</u>		
2	Witnesses	Dinoat	Cm o a a	Re-		
3	Witnesses:	Direct	Cross	arrect	CIOSS	Examiner
4						
5						
6						
7						
8						
9		<u>E</u>	<u>X</u> <u>H</u> <u>I</u>	<u>B</u> <u>I</u> <u>T</u> <u>S</u>	<u> </u>	
LO	Number	For	Ident	ificatio	on_	In Evidence
11	None.					
12						
13						
L 4						
15						
L6						
L7						
L8						
L9						
20						
21						
2.2						

- 1 JUDGE RILEY: Pursuant to the direction of the
- 2 Illinois Commerce Commission, I now call
- 3 Docket 04-0430. This is a Joint Petition between
- 4 Illinois Bell Telephone Company (SBC Illinois) and
- 5 KMC Telecom, Incorporated, for Approval of the Fourth
- 6 Amendment to the Interconnection Agreement dated
- 7 May 26, 2004, Pursuant to 47 U.S.C. Section 252.
- 8 Counsel for SBC, would you enter an
- 9 appearance for the record, please.
- 10 MR. HUTTENHOWER: James Huttenhower,
- 11 H-u-t-t-e-n-h-o-w-e-r, 225 West Randolph Street,
- 12 Suite 25-D, Chicago, Illinois 60606.
- 13 JUDGE RILEY: And for KMC Telecom.
- 14 MR. DOUGLAS NELSON: Douglas Nelson, business
- 15 address 1755 North Brown Road in Lawrenceville,
- 16 Georgia, that's L-a-w-r-e-n-c-e-v-i-l-l-e; and the
- 17 zip is 30443.
- JUDGE RILEY: Thank you.
- 19 And for Staff.
- MR. WEGING: James Weging, W-e-g-i-n-g, 160
- 21 North LaSalle Street, Suite C-800, Chicago, Illinois
- 22 60601, (312)793-2877, appearing on behalf of

- 1 Commission Staff.
- JUDGE RILEY: Thank you.
- And I'm going to turn to Commission
- 4 Staff at this point and ask whether or not a verified
- 5 statement has been filed on this matter?
- 6 MR. WEGING: A verified statement has not been
- 7 filed in this matter.
- 8 JUDGE RILEY: And would Staff enlighten us as
- 9 to why.
- 10 MR. WEGING: Some time ago, I sent an e-mail,
- 11 June 10th, concerning this case as well as another
- 12 amendment case, 04-0427, which is pending before
- 13 Judge Cole, that KMC Telecom, Inc., had had its
- 14 certificates in Illinois cancelled, I believe, in
- 15 August -- September of last year; and we -- and the
- 16 two negotiated agreements were presented to us for an
- 17 entity, which said that it was getting out of
- 18 Illinois and not providing service to Illinois.
- 19 And we've had no actual response from
- 20 KMC at all on whether they're coming back into
- 21 Illinois, if this is a clerical error of some sort,
- 22 et cetera; and, basically, as we said, in the e-mail,

- 1 our choices are to seek either withdrawal by them or
- 2 a motion to dismiss on the basis that KMC Telecom,
- 3 Inc., cannot operate in Illinois without a
- 4 certificate of service authority.
- JUDGE RILEY: Well, let me ask Mr. Nelson then.
- 6 Mr. Nelson, are you aware of Staff's
- 7 position.
- 8 MR. DOUGLAS NELSON: Yes, I am. Actually, if I
- 9 could explain the background.
- 10 JUDGE RILEY: Certainly.
- MR. DOUGLAS NELSON: I was contacted by our
- 12 outside counsel, which is Kelley, Drye & Warren in
- 13 Washington, DC. And they informed me of this pretty
- 14 much a day before I left for two weeks on vacation,
- and I was under the impression that they were going
- 16 to handle it.
- 17 And the essential matter, though, is
- 18 that these amendments, I believe, were filed in those
- 19 old entity names that Staff Counsel's rights are no
- 20 longer active entities in the State of Illinois
- 21 simply because the underlying interconnection
- 22 agreement was entered under those entities' names

- 1 when it was file some time ago. Since then, we've
- 2 had a corporate restructuring; and I'd have to check
- 3 to see exactly which entities were substituted, but
- 4 it's probably -- there are probably two entities in
- 5 Illinois for KMC. One is called KMC Telecom 3, LLC
- 6 -- I'm sorry. In Illinois, it would be KMC
- 7 Telecom 5, Incorporated, and KMC Data, LLC; and those
- 8 are the names that the -- the agreements should now
- 9 be under and that the amendment should have been
- 10 filed under. And my understanding, those are active
- 11 certificated entities in Illinois.
- 12 And I'd be willing to make any kind of
- 13 filing that's required in order to clarify that. At
- 14 the time we did the corporate restructuring, we
- 15 filed, you know, name change notices and assignment
- 16 notices with SBC, I believe; and I would think we
- 17 would have filed those with the Commission, as well.
- And so I think what happened is, the
- 19 original agreement still has the old entities names
- 20 on it; and that's kind of the source of the
- 21 confusion.
- JUDGE RILEY: Mr. Weging, does that -- what is

- 1 Staff's response?
- 2 MR. WEGING: Well, yes. Counsel is quite
- 3 correct that the other -- there were up to six
- 4 different KMC entities that had licenses at one time
- 5 or another in Illinois. The only two that are left
- 6 are KMC Telecom 5 and KMC Data, LLC -- or I kept
- 7 thinking it was Datalink, LLC, but I probably got the
- 8 name wrong.
- 9 The real problem, of course, is that
- 10 KMC Telecom 5, at least, which is the entity I looked
- 11 at, has its own negotiated agreement and first
- 12 amendment but not any further amendments other than
- 13 that.
- MR. DOUGLAS NELSON: Okay.
- MR. WEGING: And, now, the case that's up here
- 16 today that Judge Riley is hearing was an agreement
- 17 between all of the KMC entities and all of the SBC
- 18 entities. So it listed everybody, including the ones
- 19 having Illinois certificates.
- The problem is the other case, which
- is before Judge Cole, only listed KMC Telecom, Inc.
- 22 It did not list any other KMC entities.

- 1 MR. DOUGLAS NELSON: Okay.
- 2 MR. WEGING: From what Mr. Huttenhower has
- 3 said, is that these two amendments are kind of linked
- 4 in some way so that they really can't -- one can't go
- 5 without the other.
- And I don't know how to straighten
- 7 this out. Staff's preference is to have the parties
- 8 withdraw the two cases --
- 9 MR. DOUGLAS NELSON: Okay.
- 10 MR. WEGING: -- and just refile it with the
- 11 proper properties and making sure the agreements
- 12 state the proper parties, as well.
- MR. DOUGLAS NELSON: Okay.
- 14 MR. WEGING: Like I said, it's not a problem
- 15 with this amendment; but it's a problem with the
- 16 other amendment --
- 17 MR. DOUGLAS NELSON: Okay.
- 18 MR. WEGING: -- because there's not even a
- 19 footnote saying, KMC Telecom and all of its --
- MR. DOUGLAS NELSON: Well, what we should --
- 21 MR. WEGING: -- related entities.
- 22 MR. DOUGLAS NELSON: Right.

- 1 MR. WEGING: Go -- yeah. Go ahead, please.
- 2 MR. DOUGLAS NELSON: Okay. I was just saying
- 3 we should probably withdraw both and then just refile
- 4 them under the proper entities.
- JUDGE RILEY: Well, let me ask SBC, what's your
- 6 response to that?
- 7 MR. HUTTENHOWER: That's -- that's fine with
- 8 us.
- 9 JUDGE RILEY: Okay.
- 10 MR. WEGING: And, of course, that's fine with
- 11 Staff. What we're really having a problem with is
- 12 that Mr. Huttenhower and I are required to file
- 13 briefs in the other case by Friday because the other
- 14 ALJ has moved on it extremely fast.
- 15 MR. DOUGLAS NELSON: Could we file a joint
- 16 motion to withdraw the amendments?
- 17 MR. HUTTENHOWER: Yes. I -- you know, I
- 18 recognize you were on vacation and so I was having
- 19 some communications with Ms. Johnson -- never
- 20 direct -- always sort of by leaving each other
- 21 messages. And at one point, I sent down a sample of
- 22 what we could file; and then we didn't get any

- further. I didn't -- I didn't hear back from her,
- 2 and I got busy with other matters. So I didn't
- 3 follow up.
- 4 MR. DOUGLAS NELSON: Well, I apologize. I
- 5 was -- it was -- I -- you know, it was definitely me
- 6 who let it slip through the cracks. I honestly was
- 7 under the impression that our outside counsel was
- 8 going to get with you. And maybe the disconnect was
- 9 just that you didn't have his name or something.
- 10 MR. WEGING: Well, I -- just for the record, I
- 11 was in contact with Mr. Lever at Kelley and Drye --
- 12 MR. DOUGLAS NELSON: That would be it.
- 13 MR. WEGING: -- who was handling -- who handled
- 14 your certificate cancellation, but he indicated that
- 15 he wasn't the regular attorney for KMC; and he -- I
- 16 talked to him this morning, and apparently no one
- 17 ever got back to him to handle the matters.
- 18 MR. DOUGLAS NELSON: Okay. Well, that's
- 19 probably my fault.
- 20 JUDGE RILEY: Well, am I taking too simplistic
- 21 for you of this; or is the joint motion to withdraw
- 22 going to solve the problem?

- 1 MR. WEGING: Of course it will solve the
- 2 problem.
- JUDGE RILEY: Okay.
- 4 MR. DOUGLAS NELSON: I agree.
- 5 JUDGE RILEY: All right.
- 6 MR. HUTTENHOWER: Mr. Nelson, I can send you
- 7 something later this morning.
- 8 MR. DOUGLAS NELSON: That would be great.
- 9 MR. HUTTENHOWER: If it's still morning.
- 10 MR. DOUGLAS NELSON: And let me give you my
- 11 phone number if you need -- well, you obviously have
- my phone number.
- 13 JUDGE RILEY: 985-6275?
- MR. DOUGLAS NELSON: Yeah.
- JUDGE RILEY: Yeah, we've got that.
- 16 MR. DOUGLAS NELSON: Okay. Yeah, and that
- 17 should do it.
- JUDGE RILEY: Okay. I have no expectation that
- 19 we're going to have to reconvene on this then. But
- 20 what I'm going to do just for the sake of
- 21 administrative purposes and to satisfy our Clerk's
- Office, how soon -- can you give me an estimate as to

- 1 how soon you think you can get that filed?
- 2 MR. HUTTENHOWER: Well, I can send it out
- 3 today -- you know, send it by e-mail today; and
- 4 assuming, you know, he -- that Mr. Nelson faxes it
- 5 back or whatever --
- 6 JUDGE RILEY: Right.
- 7 MR. HUTTENHOWER: -- I could probably file it
- 8 either later today or tomorrow.
- 9 JUDGE RILEY: Okay. So it will have the -- you
- 10 know, whatever is needed on there and it will
- 11 involve --
- 12 MR. HUTTENHOWER: Yeah.
- JUDGE RILEY: -- the bells and whistles and --
- 14 MR. HUTTENHOWER: Yeah.
- JUDGE RILEY: -- everything. Okay, fine.
- MR. DOUGLAS NELSON: Yeah, and I'll definitely
- 17 sign it today.
- JUDGE RILEY: All right, then. Excellent.
- 19 MR. WEGING: Thank you.
- 20 MR. DOUGLAS NELSON: Thank you. I apologize
- 21 for the confusion that you had to go through all of
- 22 this.

- JUDGE RILEY: Okay. Then I will not -- well,
- 2 I'm not going to mark this heard and taken today.
- 3 Obviously, I'm not going to close the record until
- 4 that -- until that matter is on -- until it is on
- 5 file.
- 6 MR. HUTTENHOWER: I mean, do you want to set
- 7 like a dummy hearing for Friday?
- 8 JUDGE RILEY: That's exactly what I was going
- 9 to do.
- 10 MR. WEGING: Not Friday. I am gone on Friday.
- 11 JUDGE RILEY: No. I was going to give it a
- 12 little bit longer than that.
- MR. HUTTENHOWER: Well, it's a dummy rule.
- 14 Well, you're attendance is essential, Jim.
- MR. WEGING: Thank you.
- JUDGE RILEY: What I was going to suggest is
- 17 that I just set it -- what I call an artificial
- 18 hearing.
- 19 There will be no -- Mr. Nelson, there
- 20 will be no reason for us to reconvene on this; but
- 21 just to satisfy the Clerk's Office, I have to put
- 22 another date on this until it is actually withdrawn.

- 1 MR. DOUGLAS NELSON: Okay, great.
- JUDGE RILEY: So what I'll do is, I'll just
- 3 note that this is going to be down for the afternoon
- 4 of July 21st; and I fully expect to have the
- 5 withdrawal order prepared.
- 6 MR. DOUGLAS NELSON: Okay. Mr. Huttenhower, do
- 7 you have my e-mail?
- 8 MR. HUTTENHOWER: Yeah, I have it from earlier.
- 9 MR. DOUGLAS NELSON: Okay, great.
- 10 JUDGE RILEY: All right, then.
- MR. DOUGLAS NELSON: We should be set.
- 12 JUDGE RILEY: Okay. Just exchange the
- 13 paperwork then, and Staff is -- Staff is perfectly --
- 14 MR. WEGING: Yes. I mean, we kind of thought
- 15 it was just a -- kind of a clerical kind of matter --
- 16 JUDGE RILEY: Okay.
- 17 MR. WEGING: -- but it's a difficult matter
- 18 when the --
- 19 JUDGE RILEY: Right.
- 20 MR. WEGING: -- when one of the entities are
- 21 different.
- MR. DOUGLAS NELSON: Oh, how can you tell? I

- 1 mean, absolutely.
- JUDGE RILEY: All right then, Mr. Nelson.
- 3 Thank you very much --
- 4 MR. DOUGLAS NELSON: Thank you.
- 5 JUDGE RILEY: -- for helping us out here.
- Is there anything further?
- 7 MR. WEGING: Nothing from Staff.
- 8 MR. DOUGLAS NELSON: Not from me.
- 9 JUDGE RILEY: Okay. Then I will continue this
- 10 matter to July 21st. And as soon as I see the
- 11 filing, I'll have an order of withdrawal for the
- 12 Commission; and we'll get rid of this before
- 13 September 7th.
- MR. DOUGLAS NELSON: Beautiful.
- JUDGE RILEY: Thank you very much, gentlemen.
- 16 (Whereupon, the above-entitled
- 17 matter was continued to
- 18 July 21, 2004.)

19

20

21

22